

Message

From: Paul.Weber@CH2M.com [Paul.Weber@CH2M.com]
Sent: 8/4/2014 1:29:19 PM
To: Weissbart, Erich [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=e361d2f1f04641e49ca63c81a2e2f4ee-EWeissba]; Sid.Stephenson@CH2M.com
CC: Matt.Boekenhauer@CH2M.com; Kylie.McCord@CH2M.com; cibrikje@dow.com
Subject: RE: List of Documents for Review - 4thQuarter2013 Update
Attachments: List of Documents for RA Review_2stQuarter2014_6.17.14.xlsx

Hi Erich,

Sorry for the delay. Attached is an updated list of documents for review. You are correct that the two highest priority documents on this list should not require much time for Ruth to review.

Thanks,

Paul

Paul Weber
Associate Project Manager

CH2M HILL
Office 317.344.0022
eFax 770.352.1412
www.ch2mhill.com

From: Weissbart, Erich [mailto:Weissbart.Erich@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2014 4:09 PM
To: Stephenson, Sid/SCH
Cc: Boekenhauer, Matt/MKE; Kroger, Eric/DAY; McCord, Kylie/ATL; Weber, Paul/IDA; cibrikje@dow.com
Subject: RE: List of Documents for Review - 4thQuarter2013 Update

Ruth P. is currently providing support on my projects and additionally can assign work to the newest RA member of the technical support staff. I thought you were going to resubmit the reprioritized list subsequent to our recent meeting. I do recall that the two highest priority items, that we retained, were fairly short documents. Ruth wants to assign something substantial to her report and I'd like an updated list for her use, if you have one. Thanks.

Erich Weissbart, P.G.
Land and Chemicals Division
USEPA Region III
701 Mapes Road
Fort Meade, MD 20755
(410) 305-2779
weissbart.erich@epa.gov

From: Sid.Stephenson@CH2M.com [mailto:Sid.Stephenson@CH2M.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 14, 2013 10:17 AM
To: Weissbart, Erich; Wentworth, William
Cc: Matt.Boekenhauer@CH2M.com; Eric.Kroger@CH2M.com; Kylie.McCord@CH2M.com; Sid.Stephenson@CH2M.com; Paul.Weber@CH2M.com; cibrikje@dow.com
Subject: List of Documents for Review - 4thQuarter2013 Update

Erich and Bill: Attached you will find the revised list of documents that have been submitted or are planned for submittal with a prioritization for review for the UCC South Charleston and PTO sites and the Bayer CropScience facility in West Virginia.

I am not sending this direct to Ruth, as you (specifically Erich) have asked to see it before sending to Ruth so as to manage the workload for her, and have input on whether you think her review is needed. I assume you will coordinate accordingly with Ruth, but I will be glad to forward directly to her with your approval.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sid